

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/711,603	09/28/2004	Jason A. Polzin	GEMS8081.218	5602	
27061	7590 04/04/2006		EXAM	INER	
ZIOLKOWSKI PATENT SOLUTIONS GROUP, SC (GEMS)			ARANA, I	ARANA, LOUIS M	
MEQUON,	TH CEDARBURG ROAD WI 53097	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2859	<u> </u>	
			DATE MAILED: 04/04/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/711,603	POLZIN, JASON	Α.			
		Examiner	Art Unit	T			
		Louis M. Arana	2859				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a			ddress			
Period fo	or Reply			•			
WHIC - Exte afte - If NO - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to received by the Office later than three months after the mail need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMU 1.136(a). In no event, however, many d will apply and will expire SIX (6) ute, cause the application to become	JNICATION.  By a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this one ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08	March 2006.					
2a)□		nis action is non-final.					
3)[	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application	on.					
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14-38</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.						
7)⊠	7) Claim(s) <u>2-13</u> is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Applicat	tion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abo	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drav	ving(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attac	ched Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
<b>a</b> )	n All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		• •				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	•	een received in this Nationa	l Stage			
*	application from the International Bure						
<del>"</del> ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies	not received.				
Attachmei							
1) 🔼 Noti 2) 🗌 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🛛 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/04</u> .	_	of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/711,603 Page 2

Art Unit: 2859

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of "Species A" in the reply filed on 3/8/06 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that "the species have not been identified by the examiner". This is not found persuasive because applicant's argument that the species have not been identified because they somehow, correspond to the claims, is immaterial to the question of the propriety of the restriction requirement. Moreover, MPEP 809.02(a) directs the examiner to identify the species, preferably with figure drawings, or examples. The figure drawings in the instant case, are useless for this purpose, so the specification has been used. Applicant' should regard as a fortunate coincidence that the correspondence between claims and the paragraphs of the specification is so close. This means that the species can be clearly identified and the claims readable thereon elected without confusion or discrepancy. The identified species are patentably distinct on their face as they do not have overlapping scope. The examiner also disagrees with applicant's representative that claims 1-31 are readable on the elected species "A". Only claims 1-13 are readable thereon.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 14-38 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 3/8/06.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,603 Page 3

Art Unit: 2859

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dumoulin et al. P.N. 5,375,598 (Dumoulin).

Dumoulin discloses, see col.7 lines 3-41 a MRI method for eliminating non-zero background phase produced by eddy currents. The method uses subtraction of two PD images acquired separately to eliminate non-zero background phase produced by eddy currents. The first and second acquisitions are generated from identical pulse sequences except for the polarity of the velocity-encoding gradients. The subtraction of the images so produced, cancels all non-velocity contributions from the image.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 2-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Schneider et al. and Sano et al. and Zur disclose MRI of flow. Note the abstract of each disclosure in general, and Fig. 12 and 15 in Sano et al. in particular.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Louis M. Arana whose telephone number is (571) 272-2236. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thurs. Fridays Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego F. Gutierrez can be reached on (571) 272-2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Louis M. Arana Primary Examiner Art Unit 2859

lma 3/23/06